MAKE IT HISTORIC.

The Elerious Fourth to be a Red Letter Day for the Texas Prohibitionists.

Let Every Prohibitionist, Man, Woman and Child, Send in Their Contributions on That Day.

Fellow Citizens of Texas: As the executive committee of the state | Mr. Robert Hammett of Marietta, Ga., prohibition convention, held in this city is visiting relatives in this city last May, we find it necessary to call upon you by public appeal for money to carry you by public appeal for money to carry on the Prohibition campaign. Money is During the war, when many Confeder- Eastland; Warren Garrett et al. vs J. W. Kansas and Texas 1's at 112; do 6's at the payment of fat salaries. The mem's boats for transfer to Alton and other bers of this committee give their services free of charge, as do also a very large number of occasional workers in all arts of the state, the consciousness of duty performed being their only reward. But though invaluable, indispell, ward. But though invaluable, indispell, ward. But though invaluable, indispell, ward, and proceed of the state needed, not for as some seem to suppose, ate prisoners were brought here on steam. Weaver, Kinney; D. N. Leevs. J. N. Yan- 96k; Texas and Pacific incomes at 60 ward. But though invaluable, indispensable, in fact, for local or county purposes, this class is unavailable for other
purposes, and it is found necessary to
keep, in addition thereto, a large number of speakers and workers constantly
ber of spea in the field and subject to orders from (Mo.) Republican. this office, and this, of course, involves a very heavy expense. The press, manyvoiced and trumpet-tongued, must needs be used to the utmost in the refutation of error and the dissemination of truth, in its relation to the various phases of this great question. With the masses of our people, not in some way interested in is a list of the cases taken under advise- man, Ellis; Roden T. Crane vs. S. A. Union being the leader both as to activity the liquor traffic, ignorance and preju- ment and transferred to Tyler: M. J. P. Hogan, Bosque county; Max Weis vs. and strength. The lowest figures were dice, it is believed, are the greatest Sickles et al. vs. Martin Hpps, Collin Oliver & Griggs, Limestone; Belton generally at the opening, while the highbarriers to the success of our cause. county; J. S. Morris vs. James Hand et For vanquishing these and kindred ele-For vanquishing these and kindred ele-al., Montague county; Labelle Wagon way Company vs. Calvin P. Fox, Johnson; vances over last evening's final ments of opposition, what so potent as Works vs. Tidball, VanZandt & Co., Tyments of opposition, what so potent as the press—that mighty engine of moral and intellectual power? For mental starvation, what so good as the pure and generous iruit of the press? The press? The press county; Reece A. Hays, by next friend, generous iruit of the press? The press county; Reece A. Hays, by next friend, and Santa Fe Railway Company vs. James opening. Western Union, Pacific Mail and of Texas, though in the main true to its vs. Gainesville Street Railway Company, mission and nobly responsive at whatever | Cooke county; M. F. Lynch et al. vs. W. cost, to the best interests of society as A. Broad et al., Lamar county; R. H. isvolved in this contest, has need to be Rue vs. Missouri Pacific Railway Comsupplemented largely in its "labors of pany, Grayson county; Blain & Kelly vs. love," and tals, too, at an expense ex-leve, and tals, too, at an expense ex-ceeded only by the necessities of the ty; S. D. Steadman et al. vs. Merchants Company vs. John Hedrick, McLennan; period of business the advance was Company vs. John Hedrick, McLennan; remainder of the list. After a short ty; S. D. Steadman et al. vs. Merchants situation. In brief, and without further and Planters' Bank, Grayson county; A. R. J. McKinney et al. vs. B. M. Matthews, renewed with force, Western Union, detail, the committee estimates that to B. Gardner and wife vs. G. G. make the campaign in a manner reasonmake the campaign in a manner reasonmake the campaign in a manner reasonRandall, Grayson county; C. C. Edwards
william H. Kimbrough, Falls; James C.
william H. Kimbrough, Falls; James C.
before 2 o'clock p. m., when many stocks
walker vs. L. A. Stroud et al., Limebefore 2 o'clock p. m., when many stocks
walker vs. L. A. Stroud et al., Limebefore 2 o'clock p. m., when many stocks nuirements, and with the great interests | Peet, Yale & Powling vs. Commerceinvolved there should be placed in bank, Ervay Street Railway Company, Dallas Railroad Company et al., McLennan; J. day. Liberal realizations caused a slight the people of Texas to furnish them with | Maggie Woolridge et al. vs. H. H. Hanthe amount. Of the money so far ex cock et al., Lamar county; Robert P. Abne work and fairly keep things moving, so far county; Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe longer rely even partially, upon so lim- Dallas county; Texas and Pacific Railited a source of supply. Fellow citizens of way Company vs. H H. Rogers, Lamar Bell; James L. Scott vs. T. L. Pettigrew steady. Texas, upon you, In your capacity as cit- county; Clark & Clark vs. G. W. Gillesizens and fathers, as patriots and philan- pie et al., Tarrant county; Brunswig & thropists, it is that in this emergency we Co. vs. E L. White et al., Tarrent counrely. To you individually and collect ty; Kahn Bros. vs. Washer & August, ively, it is that we, with confidence, make Tarrant county; City National Bank of this appeal for the money required to Fort Worth vs. D. C. Martin, Tarrant banish that accursed traffic which now county; W. A. McCutcheon vs. F. M. casts a shadow athwart every home in all Davis et al., Tarrant county; C. Deen vs. our fair land, and shall we plead in S. W. Blount and L. B. Polk et al., vain? The lines of the contest are now Cooke county: Fore & Henderclearly drawn. The opposition led by son vs. Hittson & Reed, Tarrant county; men pre-eminent for ability, is backed S. Y. Collins et al. vs. James W. Kay et financially by the salcon and al., Wichita county; J. P. Smith et al. vs. other liquor interests, vast and va- Thos. Leach et al , Tarrant county; Philried in their connections as lip P. Cook vs. O. H. Pollard et al., Lathey are, of our own state; and noto- mar county; R. E. and S Foreman, by riously also by the breweries, distilleries guardian, vs. W. C. Foreman, Collin and wholesale sellers of liquors in cities county; Missouri Pacific Railway Comand states remote from the scene of con- pany vs. J. W. Johnston & Co., Collin flict and interested in Texas only in so county; Houston and Texas Central Railfar as they hope through a perpetuation way Company vs. James Patton, Grayson and further extension of their nefarious county; Houston and Texas Central Railbusiness to enrich themselves, and that way Company vs. Jno. H. Coozer, Grayby unduly dominating over civic and so- son county; R. Boaz & Co. vs. Schneider. cial regulations and at the expense of & Davis, Tarrant county; Samuel J. our every interest, social, moral and ma- Adams vs. Houston and Texas Central terial, noth as a people and a state. What Railway Company, Travis county; Intergreater indignity, we would ask, could be national and Great Northern Railway offered to either the intelligence or the Company vs. Telephone and Telegraph manhood of our people and state? In- Company, Hays county; W. C. Morgan deed, what greater danger is possible to vs. W. A. Smith et al., Travis county; civil liberty itself, than the domination of Bastrop County vs. John Hearn, Bastrop our public policies and and social regula- county; H. W. Bitter vs. E. P. Calhoun, tions threatened by the characters to Comel county; G. W. Jones et al. vs. J.

thrift and sobriety, with ensuing peace vs. J. R. Robbins, Travis county; C. A. People of Texas, sors and heirs of Williamson county; Joseph Ackerman et revolutionary sires! Let us reconsecrate al., vs. Sarah Huff et al., Bexar county; the Fourth of July, now at hand, by W. T. Campbell et. al., vs. William Mcmaking it a day ever memorable for con- Faddin et al., Williamson county; K. J. tributions in both money and work to the Edwards and Joseph Fisher vs. Mary A. success of this grand cause. Let us Smith et al., Travis county: Tex s Meximake it the "White Day" in the annals can Railway Company vs. R. J. Carr et William Killebrew, Falls; James M. of our history; the day of a declaration al., Bexar county; Texas Mexican Railof independence from a tyrant, King way Company vs. William Locke et al., Alcohol, even more pernicious, socially, Bexar county; A. Ditmar vs. J. V. & M. than was ever King George in a political G. Dognowity, Bexar county; L. B. Harsense.

l'eace hath her victories. No less renowned than war." pledged their all, both of life and property, for the success of the one grand fuse the moiety of money now requisite

All monies received will be duly acknowledged and accounted for. Remittances should be made to Dr. Thomas Moore, treasurer, Waco, Tex.

to a victory no less glorious, certainly

B. H. CARROLL, Secretary. Chairman. All papers friendly to the cause please

WAXAHACHIE.

copy.

An Old Settler Dead-Rain Needed Badly. Correspondence of the Gazette.

WAXAHACHIE, TEX., June 27.-Mr. terday about 12 o'clock.

feeling sick and feverish, and went to bed Blankensnip & Blake vs. N. Wartelsky & with a light fever. He soon began to complain of pain in his left knee, which was then somewhat inflamed, and continued to swell till finally the attending physician yesterday opened the diseased point and found a small piece of fractured bone, the result of a bruise received in a fall some months ago. Since

Blankenship & Blake vs. N. Wartelsky & Bro., Wise county; Catlett & Malin vs. A. R. Starr et al., Tom Green County; Catlett & Malin vs. A. R. Starr et al., Tom Green County; Green C

portion of the county.

over urned and badly wrecked.

morning for an extended visit to relatives Bower et al. vs. Daniel Adee et al., Mc-

A Reminiscence of the Past.

SUPREME COURT. Transferred to Tyler. 000, and they, with confidence, ask vs. the City of Dallas, Dallas county;

which we have here referred? To com- D. Andrews et al , Bastrop county; pass their object, diabolical as it Houston and Texas Central Railway Comis, the opposition will, it is believed, expend not less certainly than pany, Travis county; Alonza Russell vs. vs. M. A. Deroche, Parker; A. M. Koen, 8500,000; fully ten times as much as it is J. B. Hunnicutt, Blanco county; James estimated will, through judicious man- B. Goff vs. Charles G. Jones and wife, agement, be required to defeat their evil Travis county; Mary J. McCorkle vs. machinations, banish the liquor traffic Texas Benevolent Association, Travis and with it the saloons, and thus intro- county; Carruthers & Searight vs. R. S. duce an era unprecedented for honest Alexander, Bexar county; M. L. Dimmitt

Brown et al., vs. B. F. Bridges et al., ris et al., vs. Bennett Musgrave, Atascosa county; Gus A. Kampmann et al .. vs. Williams & Russell, Bexar county; Surely it our tathers of 1776 freely International and Great Northern Railroad Company vs. Frederike Kuchn et a'. Comal county; Sarah A. Howard et al cause, we, their sons and successors will vs. John P. Kellan et al., Gaudalupe; not, unless indeed, sadly degenerate, re- Hugh Houston vs. A. J. Brown et al., Caldwell county; W.R. Wiseman vs. M. A. Baylor et al., Wilson county; Galvesthough in a bloodless and far different ton, Harrisburg and San Antonio Railway

Company vs. Charles Porfert, Bexar; Galveston, Harrisburg and San Antonio Railway Company vs. Morris Howrin, By order of the Executive Committee.

Bexar county; International and Great is sufficient assurance that you will not be disappointed in the result.

Prince Have county is sufficient assurance that you will not be disappointed in the result. S. Cock, Hays county; James B. Cassidy admr, et al. vs. Anna Kluge et al, Travis lest paper in Texas at only 1 a year. Great Northern Railroad Company W. C. county; William Lewis vs. H. L. Sellicket, Let everybody subscribe. al., Uvalde county; R. H. Looney vs. J. P. Bagley, Nolan county; Galveston, Harrisburg and San Antonia Railway Company vs. O. C. Dowe, Maverick county; John

L. Hickman et a'. vs. Josefa Stone et al., Maverick county: P. Nobles vs. Magnolia there last night. Will Hobbs, a member James Celeman, an old and well-to-do Cattle and Land Company, Howard county; citizen of this county, died at his home John T. Lilly et al. vs. Leon & H. Blum, men during the past few months, was in two miles of town, rather suddenly yes- Wise county; Texas and Pacific Railway attendance at the meeting with a pistol Company vs. R. S. Stratton, Parker coun- buckled around him. Deputy Sheriff About a week ago, little Leslie Moffett, ty; Coleman & Davidson vs. John Col- Green tried to arrest Hobbs and a flerce son of Mr. B. R. Moffett, proprietor of gate, Coleman county; King & Davidson fight ensued, in which both men were the Ringo Roller mills, complained of et al. vs. Mrs. S. E. Harter, Clay county; killed and a number of persons wounded.

the removal of the bone the boy is im- and Cattle Company, Callahan county; John C. Roberts vs. C. U. Connollee In this immediate locality rain is badly et al., Eastland; Galveston, Harrisburg needed, the corn being the worst sufferer. and San Antonio Railway Company vs. The Stock Market Makes Further Prog-Stock water is scarce in the southern O. C. Dowe, Maverick; Abilene Building and Lean Association vs. T. J. and S. J. Yesterday evening while Mr. Will Finnie, Taylor county; F. W. Mobley et Brown of Dallas was driving through al. vs. Thomas Lucas, Lampasas; F. A. town his team ran away, threw him out Piper & Co.vs. W. L. Stratton, receiver, Ulupon his face and inflicted a painful vade; R. H. Brown vs. S. T. & G. Martin, wound over his eye. The buggy was McMullen; S. J. Harrell vs. W.A. Morris, Lampasas; Phoenix Insurance Company Lisses Laura and Lizzie Wise left this vs. P. J. Willis & Bro., Lampasas; August Mullen; E. H. Graham et al. vs. McCarty & Brown et al., Hood; Erastus Brocket vs. Ed McKechnie, Tom Green; H. T. & Ellis; Haif, Weis & Co. vs. C. P. Curtis, light. Ellis; Missouri Pacific Railway Company vs. J. T. Bartlett, Bell; Margaret Boone prices rule about the same as for weeks et al. vs. John Hulsey et al., Fannin; W. past. W. Glassgow vs. L. E. Owens, McLen-Cases Taken Under Advisement and nan; Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe Rai!way Company vs. Elias A. Moore, John- ket made further progress in the direc-Austin, Tex., June 27 .- The following | son; T. L. Marsalis & Co. vs. E. I. Pit- | tion of higher figures to-day, Western S. Greenlee and wife, Bosque; S. J. the Vanderbilts, however, early became Siddall et al. vs. Thomas Coggin & Bro., prominent for strength and the advance Bosque; Josiah Watson vs. Miller Bros., continued until nearly noon, when Man-Bell; Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe Rail- hattan broke four points with little way Company vs. James Benson, Bosque; or no effect, however, upon the Hill; Emma and B. L. Aycock vs. Reading and Jersey Central being most stone; T. M. Shirley et al. vs. Waco Tap had reached the highest prices for the

subject to their order for campaign county; R. B. Harwood et al. vs. Thomas purposes, not less certainly, than N. Willey, Wichita county; John Kline Thomas T. Howard et al. vs. M. Kopperl again became strong before the City of Delles Dalles county. et al., Milam; J. H. Fisher vs. J. A. Abney, Hill; Ball, Hutchings & Co. Union pended on the state campaign, it is due Mayo et al. vs. T. L. Tudor's heirs, La- L. & H. Blum vs. J. A. Strong, McLen- at or near the highest prices reached. to say that more than one-half has been mar county; Teudeil vs. Alexander Peak nan; W. A. Taylor vs. T. B. Cox, McLen- Everything is higher this evening and in contributed by the city of Waco alone; et al., Lamar county; Tarrant County vs. nan; Wilson Hammers vs. Eugene Han- the active list fractional advances are but though through this singular munificence we have been enabled to begin the J. Bailard vs. J. A. Carter et al., Dallas ine A. Willis et al., Bell; B. F. Blassin- Railroad bonds were active and ine A. Willis et al., Bell; B. F. Blassingame vs. M. J. Davis et al., Ellis; James stronger, though the improvement was with most encouraging results, we dare not Railway Company vs. Lemuel Silliphant, P. Reed vs. E. O. Hardeman et al., Bell; chiefly among speculative issues.

et al., Bell; Robert Moore vs. James L. Stewart et al., Falls; A. D. Blackwell vs. W. B. Hunnicutt, Falls; S. B. pryan vs. E. G. Hanrick, Falls; Ike Casey et al. vs. E. G. Hanrick, Falls; S. D. Jacoby et al. vs. J. L. Brighman, Hill; A. B. Gaither vs. E. G. Hanrick, Falls; Robert J. Brooks vs. Sanger Bros., McLennan. The following cases having been given precedence, are transferred to Tyler: The

Morris vs. Lone Star Chapter No. 6 R. A. M., Travis. All cases pending on appeal from Ellis county, that county having been made returnable to the branch at Tyler, were

State of Texas vs. J A. Rhomberg et al., Travis: City of Austin vs. Austin Gas-

light and Coal Company, Travis: A. R.

transferred to that branch. It is ordered that the following cases be taken under advisement and transferred to Galveston: J. E. Henderson vs. Angio-American Land and Claim Association, Dallas; W. C. Howard vs. J. H. Britton & Co., Dallas; J. C. Lynch vs. Alex Ortlieb & Co., Dallas; Scottish U. and N. Insurance Company vs. C. H. Clancey, Dallas; Texas and Pacific Railway Company vs. J. F. Newman, et al., Tarrant; Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe pany vs. J. J. Howard, Tarrant; Nye & Fisher et al. vs. James S. Moody, Wichita; T. C. Nye et al. vs. R. F. Gribble, Wichlta; W. L. Moody & Co. vs. J. A. Carroll, assignee, Denton; Silas Bradley administrator, etc, vs. J. P. Davidson, guardian, Eastland; Franco-Texan LandCompany vs. A. R. Bousselet, Parker; J. R. Couts vs. N. C. Neer, Parker; Henry F. Keyser vs. John O. Musebach et al., Mason; Thomas Eskin vs. Scott & Lowery, Concho; A. J. Peters Saddlery and Harness Company vs. Schoellkoff & Co., Hill: Missouri Pacific Rullway Company vs. Mary A. Lee, Hill; Mary A. Goode et al. vs. A. J. Jasper et al., Hill; Uilman, Lewis & Co. vs. A. J. Jasper et al., Hill; George H. Glassoway vs. G. W. White, Falls: Mrs. Yolande Fortune et al. vs. Stewart vs. P. H. Anderson et al., Falls; J. S. Brown & Co. vs. Lessing, Solomon Rosenthal, Falls; Wilson Reed et al. vs. J. B. Appleby et al., Falls; C. P. Lancaster vs. F. H. Ayers, Beil; Gulf, Colorado and Santa Fe Railway Company vs.

John McCorquodale, Milam. Is Won't Bake Bread

In other words. Hood's Sarsaparilla will not do impossibilities. Its proprietors tell plainly what it has done, submit proofs from sources of unquestioned re-liability, and ask you frankly if you are suffering from any disease or affection caused or promoted by impure blood or low state of the system, the try Hood's Sarsaparilla. The experience of others

Two Men Killed in a Church

KNOXVILLE, TENN., June 29 .- News has been received from Strong Gap church in Hancock county of a fatal shooting of an outlaw gang that has killed several

ON WALL STREET.

ress in the Direction of Higher Figures-Transactions Large.

The Entire Active List Shows an Advance. The Improvement in Railway Bonds Chiefly Among Speculative Issues

COMMERCIAL RESUME. Special to the Gazette.

NEW YORK, June 29 .- Railway bonds J. F. Hopkins vs. J. F. Neggli, Medina; to-day sold as follows: Fort Worth T. W. House vs. Robert Brent et al., and Deuver City at 914; Houston and

Coffee 20@25 points lower.

The hide market is quiet, demands are Wool has not materially changed and

GOVERNMENTS, STOCKS AND BONDS. NEW YORK, June 29 .- The stock martrading being E. M. Collins, McLennan; and the market closed active and strong

Government bonds were dull and

Eczema, rant; Texas and Pacific Railway Company vs. J. J. Howard To. wissed Grom

> Cancer of the Tongue. M: wile, some three or four years ago, was trou-The pain was incessant, causing los nd producing great nervous prostration. nd parked from the shoulders and centered in tre one hand, she almost losing the use of it the suffering of the two, life had grow ome. By the use of a half dozen small of a ago, and there has been no return of the dis

parta, Ga., June 5, 1886. Prestussion Blood and Skin Diseases mailed free THE SWIFT SPECIFIC CO. Drawer S. Atlanta Ga



Whalebone or Horn, and guaranteed never to break. Price, \$1.25. For sale by leading who sale and retail estab

MAYER, STROUSE & CO. 412 Broadway, N. Y., Manufacturers

The Great Hank Robbery running in the Weekly GAZETTE

More

SUBJECT

BARGAINS!

that are always seen at

MARCH & PRICE'S STORE

So come and see our light that shines forth so bright and free! We keep the best and sell so cheap that he who huys must hargains reap! Don't be laggard; now is the time to well invest your idle time! Our goods will interest and please, for they include all Novelties. We make it plain to all who buy, that we are bound to vet supply all neople here with bargains low, and make * our store the place to go. Our stock is varied, full * complete; our store is always bright and neat: * " our clerks are uniformly clever; come once " and you will come forever. See that you patronize those who are ..


> You will get a * * bargain everv * time, and sid our little lamp * * to shine

determined to rise.

I. C. BARGAINS, Advertiser for March & Price,

Dealers in Dry Goods, Clothing, Gents' Furnishing Goods, Boots, Shoes, Hats and Caps, Dress Goods, Notions, Hosiery, White Goods, etc.,

302 and 304 Houston Street, Fort Worth, Texas.



Wholesale Dealer, corner Second and Houston, Fort Worth Tex.



TULLY, HOWARD Wholesale and Retail Jeweler 307 Houston Street. Fort Worth

Mice over First National bank, Ft. Worth Tex. ulas on

Henry Pollack & Co. TRUNK FACTORY

Fine Goods a specialty Trunks and Cases MADE TO ORDER. 722 Kim Street, DAMAS, TEX.

Attorneys At Law,